PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	16.03.2004
plicant's or agent's file reference e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. International filing date (c. 14.03.2005) ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00) plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
plicant's or agent's file reference e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. International filing date (c. 14.03.2005) ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00) plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. CT/IB2005/050897 ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. CT/IB2005/050897 ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. CT/IB2005/050897 ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
e form PCT/ISA/220 ernational application No. CT/IB2005/050897 ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	See paragraph 2 below day/month/year Priority date (day/month/year) 16.03.2004
ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification (2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant ONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	16.03.2004
D2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant DNINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	and IPC
D2B6/42, F21V8/00 plicant DNINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the fol ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
This opinion contains indications relating to the fol Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
This opinion contains indications relating to the fol ☐ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion☑ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion☑ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	lowing itoms:
☑ Box No. II Priority☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	lowing nema.
☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	
	pard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b applicability; citations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial as supporting such statement
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited	
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	onal application
FURTHER ACTION	
will not be so considered.	to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the opinions of this International Searching Authority
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 of whichever expires later.	a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	•
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	
	•
•	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>@</u>))

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

Ciarrocca, M

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-634



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050897

		- NI-		Pools of the policy		
_	RO	k No), I	Basis of the opinion		
1.	Wit the	h reg lang	gard guag	to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		lan	gua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	Witi nec	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. ty	a. type of material:				
	(]	a se	equence listing		
	[table	e(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. fo	orma	at of	material:		
	[in w	ritten format		
	Į]	in co	omputer readable form		
	c. ti	me (of fili	ing/furnishing:		
	[cont	tained in the international application as filed.		
	. []	filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	[] .	furn	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.		has	s bee oies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.		
4.	Add	litior	nal c	omments:		
_	Box	(No). II	Priority		
1.	×	doe req	es no Juireo	lidity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority of have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where d, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the option that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.		
2.		has	s bee	onion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.		
3.	Add	lition	nal o	bservations, if necessary:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050897

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4,7,9,14-17,19

No: Claims

1-3,5,6,8,10-13,18,20

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

15,16

No: Claims

1-14,17-20

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: JP 11 261111 A

D2: JP 2003 086845 A

D3: JP 05 113525 A

D4: JP 61 230110 A

D5: US 2004/032728 A1

D6: JP 2000 294831 A

D7: US 3877 052 A

D8: JP 60 213069 A

D9: US 5710441 A

D10: JP 58 131781 A

D11: US 6 290 382 B1

D12 : EP 1 372 008 A

D13: WO 00/29785 A

D14: US 6 164 789 A

D15: US 6 144 536 A

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 discloses (see especially fig. 1) an illumination device, comprising:

an incoherent solid state light source (20) adapted to emit light over at least one light emission surface (top surface of 20, near reference number 27) and having a total light emission surface area (defined by its top surface); and

a reflective cavity (with sides 14) having an entrance aperture (bottom end)

adapted to receive light from the incoherent solid state light source (20) and a light extraction aperture (18) adapted to output the light from the incoherent solid state light source (20),

wherein a surface area of the light extraction aperture of the reflective cavity is smaller than the total light emission surface area of the incoherent solid state light source (clear from the figure since the cavity tapers towards the aperture).

D1 discloses all features of claim 1 and therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel.

- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is additionally not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT over D2, D3, D5, D11 or D12.
- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT over D4 or D6 or D13

The figures of D4 indicate that the size of the aperture 4 is comparable to the emission surface area of the light emitting diodes 3. Furthermore three light emitting diodes are utilized in the fig. 2 embodiment. It appears obvious for the skilled person to vary the aperture size and number of light emitting diodes to suit his particular circumstances. In so doing he will arrive at the subject-matter of claim 1.

A similar lack of inventive step objection exists on account of D6 and D13.

- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 5
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claim 5 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT on account of D1 (reflective coating 83 in fig. 15), D5 (fig. 8 : reflective layer 100 on surface 102 of light source, with opening 104), D7 (Fig. 1: reflective layer 14+15+16 with aperture for optical fiber 17), D8, D9 or D10.

4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 8

- 4.1 The subject-matter of claim 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT on account of D3 (circulation device 13, extraction means 16), D5 (circulation device 22, extraction means 34), D7 (inside of reflector is the receiving surface), D8 (circulation device formed by coating 11 and mirror 13, extraction means 19), D11 (e.g. fig. 4: circulation device 147b, extraction means 115) and D12 (e.g. fig. 3a: circulation device 41, extraction means 42).
- 4.2 The subject-matter of claim 8 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT on account of D1, D4, D6 since providing extracting means is obvious (e.g. see D14, D15) and also not inventive on account of D13 since decreasing the size of the extracting means 45 appears obvious.
- 5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4, 6, 7, 9-14, 17-20

Dependent claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9-14, 17-20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Re Item VIII (Clarity and conciseness)

6. The term "emission surface" is not clear (Art. 6 PCT), since it has no unique meaning.

A light emitting diode (LED) is a typical incoherent solid state light source. The emission surface of an LED can considered to be the external surface of the LED chip itself (e.g. top surface of LED 20 in D1) or the external surface of the LED module (e.g. surface 102 in fig. 8 of D5).

This ambiguity therefore throws the scope of protection into doubt.

The description does not appear to clarify this ambiguity.

7. The term "light circulation device" is also not clear. A clear claim would specify the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050897

structural features of this device (i.e. a reflective cavity, description p. 3, l. 17) as well as its function (description p. 4, l. 1-9).

8. Claims 1 and 8 lead to a lack of conciseness of the claims as a whole since they relate to the same device.

A concise set of claims would only have a single independent claim relating to the device of claims 1 and 8.